

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,474	HANSEL ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seyed Azarian	2627	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Seyed Azarian.

(3) Dr. Rosenbaum.

(2) Mr Jacob Eisenberg.

(4) Mr. Daniel Miriam.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: 10.30 Am.

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Discussed issues pertinent to independent claim 1. Applicant's attorney has agreed to amend claim 1, by incorporating the following limitations in requirements C and D, "at least one video encoding station associated with said processor, said video encoding station comprising: means for generating data input and means for receiving operator input of said rule based characters, and image controller associated with said video encoding station and said processor said image controller". Upon receiving an official amendment to claim 1, the Examiner will withdraw the Rosenbaum reference (5,051,223), and would conduct a further search.